

# Comprehensive Die-Level Assessment of Design Rules and Layouts

---

Rani Ghaida<sup>α</sup>, Yasmine Badr<sup>β</sup>, Mukul Gupta<sup>γ</sup>, Ning Jin<sup>α</sup>,  
Puneet Gupta<sup>β</sup>

*<sup>α</sup>Global Foundries, Inc.*

*<sup>β</sup>EE Department, UCLA*

*<sup>γ</sup>Qualcomm, Inc.*

[puneet@ee.ucla.edu](mailto:puneet@ee.ucla.edu)

# Introduction

- Impact of new **technologies** on **design** is inferred from **Design Rules (DRs)**
- Process of evaluation of **DRs** is largely unsystematic and empirical
- Interaction of DRs with layouts, performance, margins, yield requires a **fast** and **systematic** evaluation method

# Prior Work

## UCLA\_DRE (*ICCAD'09 , TCAD'12*)

- A framework for early exploration of design rules, patterning technologies, layout methodologies, and library architectures
- Standard cell-level evaluation

## Shortcomings

- **Not** every change in **cell area** results in a corresponding change in **chip area**
- **Chip area** can be affected by buffering and gate sizing to meet **timing** constraints

# Prior Work

## UCLA\_DRE (ICCAD'09 TO CAD'12)

- A framework for design rule extraction, pattern matching, and library generation, and
- S...

### Chip-Level Design Rule Evaluation (Chip-DRE)

## Sho

- **Not** evaluation results in a corresponding **chip area**
- **Chip area** can be affected by buffering and gate sizing to meet **timing** constraints


# Chip-DRE: Chip level Design Rule Evaluator

- Generates virtual standard-cell library
- Employs semi-empirical and machine-learning-based models
- **Good Chips per Wafer (GCPW)**
  - unified metric for area, performance, variability and functional yield metrics


$$\frac{\text{wafer\_area}}{\text{chip\_area}} \times \text{yield}$$

# FLOW OF CHIP-DRE


# Chip-DRE Flow




# Chip-DRE Flow




# Chip-DRE Flow



# Chip-DRE Flow



# Chip-DRE Flow




# Cell Delay-to-Area Model


- Addresses effect of timing optimization during physical synthesis
- Predicts total **cell-area** scaling factor as **cell-delay** is scaled
- Based on **Machine learning**: Neural Network
- Features:
  - number of instances on critical path,
  - average fanout, average interconnect length,
  - average delay and area of gates on critical path,
  - utilization and timing constraint,
  - ratio between area of critical paths to total cell area and
  - delay scaling factor

# Cell Delay-to-Area Model

- Addresses effect of timing optimization during physical synthesis
- Predicts total **cell-area** scaling factor as **cell-delay** is scaled
- Based on **Machine learning**: Neural Network



# Chip-DRE Flow



# Cell-area to Chip-area Model

- Semi-empirical model to estimate **chip-area** in terms of **cell-area**
- Accounts for routing-limited designs
- Coefficients fitted from P&R experiments
  - Use AEGR (Area estimation using Global Routing)
    - Estimate maximum utilization such that design is routable
    - Up to 7x speedup

$$y = x + (y_0 - x_0) \times \left(\frac{x_0}{x}\right)^{\frac{x_0}{y_0 - x_0}} \text{ for } x > x_0,$$

$$y = y_0 \text{ for } x \leq x_0.$$

<p><math>x</math> : total cell-area <math>y</math> : chip-area <math>x_0, y_0</math> : fitting coefficients</p>
---


# Cell-area to Chip-area Model

- Semi-empirical model to estimate **chip-area** in terms of **cell-area**
- Accounts for routing-limited designs
- Coefficients fitted from P&R experiments
  - Use AEGR (Area estimation using Global Routing)
    - Estimate maximum utilization such that design is routable
    - Up to 7x speedup


$$y = x + (y_0 - x_0) \times \left(\frac{x_0}{x}\right)^{\frac{x_0}{y_0 - x_0}} \text{ for } x > x_0,$$

$$y = y_0 \text{ for } x \leq x_0.$$

$x$  : total cell-area  
 $y$  : chip-area  
 $x_0, y_0$  : fitting coefficients





# Chip-DRE Flow



# **SAMPLE STUDIES USING CHIP-DRE**


# Well-to-active Spacing Rule Exploration

- As Well-to-active spacing rule increases:
  - Cell area increases
  - Cell delay decreases due to well proximity effect
- Dependence of GCPW and chip-area on the rule value is non-monotone!
- Verified against PR runs, with max error of 3%





# FinFET Fin-Pitch Study

- Fin pitch effect on chip area of FPU
- Fin pitch of 60nm through 100nm, cell area is steeply increasing while chip area is slightly changing
- Error <5%



# Local Interconnect-to-poly Spacing Study

- As LI-to-poly space increases
  - Cell area increases
  - Cell delay changes: capacitive coupling decreases but diffusion capacitance may increase
- Study shows cell-area increase dominates over potential chip-area decrease



# Conclusion

- Introduced Chip-DRE framework for fast and systematic evaluation of design rules and library architectures at chip-scale


# Future Work

- Include Power optimization
- Extend to back-end rules and use Chip-DRE to develop DR and library projections for 5nm node

**QUESTIONS ?**

**BACKUP**

# Cell Delay Estimation




# Yield Estimator

- Using **DRE**
- Considers probability of survival from:
  - **Overlay error**: Normal distribution
  - **Random Particle Defects**: Critical area analysis + negative binomial yield model
  - **Contact hole failure**: Poisson distribution

# Numeric Results for WPE Experiment

Well-to-active spacing [nm]	Run-time (SPR) [min]	Cell-Area (Chip-DRE)	Chip-Area (Chip-DRE) [ $\mu\text{m}^2$ ]	Chip-Area (SPR) [ $\mu\text{m}^2$ ]	Error [%]	GCPW (Chip-DRE)
140	118	28171	30364	30130	0.8	667
185	356	28171	29709	29460	0.8	681
200	240	32527	33008	33913	-3	612
210	207	32554	32787	33554	-2	616

# Variability



# Variability

- Current variability index:

$$\Delta\left(\frac{W}{L}\right) = \frac{\sum_{all\ gates} \left| \Delta\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_i \right|}{\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{ideal}}$$

- Modeling delta W/L for each source of variability from literature (tapering, diffusion and poly rounding, CD variability)

# Manufacturability

- Manufacturability Index for evaluating DRs is probability of survival (POS) from three major sources of failure
  - contact-defectivity (a.k.a. contact-hole failure);
  - overlay error (i.e. misalignment between layers) coupled with lithographic line-end shortening (a.k.a. pull-back);
  - random particle defects.

# Manufacturability (cont'd)

- Contact hole yield follows poisson yield model:  $Y=Y_0 * e^{(-\lambda)}$   
Lambda is average # failed contacts=# contacts \* failure rate.
- Overlay vector components in x and y directions are described by a normal distribution with zero mean &  $3\sigma$
- We compute POS from overlay causing: failure to connect between contact and poly/M1/diffusion, gate-to-contact short defect, and always-on device caused by poly-to-diffusion overlay error
- For failure caused by random particles, critical area analysis for open and short defects at M1/poly/contact layers and short defects between gates and diffusion-contacts.
- $Yield=yield\_contact * yield\_overlay * yield3\_randomParticles;$